

5 July 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : Comments on AD/O's Response to the IG Survey
of the Office of Operations

1. I concur generally in paragraphs 1-4 and Tab A, though I do not feel as violently about the issue as does Mr. Carey. In reality the career service management of those with an "intelligence production" designation is delegated to the boards of the various offices except for the case of GS-15's and senior 14's. Little practical difference would be made by changing the career designations as recommended by the IG. We are well aware of the need for widening career opportunities beyond the limits of single offices and through my personnel officer, 25X1A9a, I am taking steps to see that the various boards maintain the maximum contact and interchange. Mr. Carey regularly sits at my senior Career Service Board meetings and what is needed is further integration at the working level. With particular reference to the communications engineering specialists, 25X1A9a, 25X1A9a is opposed to having them given Commo designations but is cooperating with us so that individual engineers can be considered for opportunities Agency-wide whether they work for Commo or 25X1A9a

FOIA b3 b1

2. I endorse Mr. Carey's paragraph 5 and 6 a. to the extent that he requests ceiling relief for additional field slots. I am con-

25X1X4

3. I agree with Mr. Carey's recommended actions in paragraphs 6 b. and 6 c.

4. If the appropriations for the new headquarters building are insufficient to take care of the entire Agency, it is quite likely that two of the components that would remain outside would be the Contact Division and FOIAb3b1. If that turns out to be the case, it will be necessary to re-examine the over-all composition of the Office of Operations and the subordination of its various units. I do not consider it profitable to pursue this exercise further at this time. FOIAb6

ROBERT AMORY, JR.
Deputy Director/Intelligence